

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 10/634,862 Fritz Rudert 08/06/2003 37629-0076 8198 **EXAMINER** 26633 7590 07/26/2005 HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE LLP MOSHER, MARY 1717 RHODE ISLAND AVE, NW PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3001 **ART UNIT** 1648

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/634,862	RUDERT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	1648	

	Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	1648		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 08 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See attachment</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>1,16-19,21-23,27,33,36-39,41-45 and 47</u> would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) w	ill be entered and an	explanation of	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 16-46</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		, , ,	•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attachment.				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)		

Application/Control Number: 10/634,862

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendments and arguments filed June 8, 2005 would overcome the rejections of record except the 112, 2nd paragraph rejection of claims 20, 25, 26, 40 involving "mutant sequences" and "a mutant thereof." Applicant argues that one skilled in the art would know how to make mutations. This argument is directed to the issue of enablement, which is not the basis for the rejection. The claims refer to alterations in an element, and do not indicate the scope or nature of the alterations. Possible solutions to this problem include reciting some function that is abolished by the mutation, reciting some function that is retained after the mutation, and/or reciting some degree of similarity between the original material and the "mutant". The point is that the claims must indicate to the practitioner the boundary lines of applicant's intellectual property. The term "mutant" without further limitation essentially extends the boundaries of a genetic element to infinity, since once could mutate any genetic sequence into any other genetic sequence by addition, deletion, substitution, and insertion. In addition, after entry of the amendment, this rejection will be applied to new claim 48, since the new claim does not give any metes and bounds for the alterations from SEQ ID NO:31.

The amendment is denied entry, however, since it would necessitate the new rejection for claim 48, and also because it would necessitate rejection of claim 31 as indefinite for depending from a cancelled claim.

Art Unit: 1648

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0906. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T and alternate F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

7/25/05

MARY E. MOSHER, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER